

The Anatomy of Connection: Unifying Imago Dynamics with the Biofield Paradigm

by Russell Fox, MM, CST, CSI

Introduction: The Convergence of Psychology and Physics

In the landscape of human experience, few terrains are as treacherous or as promising as the committed relationship. It is the crucible where our deepest joys are forged and our oldest wounds are laid bare. For decades, the prevailing maps for this territory have been psychological. We speak of attachment styles, childhood trauma, and communication patterns. Among the most influential of these maps is the work of Harville Hendrix and Helen LaKelly Hunt, whose seminal text, *Getting the Love You Want*, introduced the world to Imago Relationship Therapy. Their framework revolutionized our understanding of why we fall in love, why we fight, and how we can heal. They proposed that the unconscious mind drives us toward partners who carry the traits of our primary caregivers, not to make us happy, but to facilitate the finishing of childhood business.¹

Yet, as profound as this psychological model is, it operates largely within the realm of the mind and behavior. It describes the *what* and the *why* of relationship dynamics with startling accuracy, but it often stops short of the *how* at a fundamental, biophysical level. Why does a harsh word from a partner cause a physical knot in the stomach? Why does the "Space Between" a couple feel palpable, heavy, or light, as if it were a physical substance?

We stand now at a unique intersection of history and science where a new paradigm is emerging—one that offers the physics to match Hendrix's psychology. This is the **Biofield Paradigm**. Drawing upon the "Nuts & Bolts of Emotion" by Richard R. Pavek, the insights of Tao Science, and the reinterpretation of ancient wisdom through the lens of field physics, we can now peer deeper into the machinery of human connection. We can see that the "unconscious" is not merely a hidden hard drive in the brain, but a vibrant, active vibrational field of information and energy—the biofield.

This report integrates these revolutionary concepts. We will explore the enduring wisdom of *Getting the Love You Want* through the clarifying lens of "**Field Precedence**"—the principle that vibrational field fluctuations dictate both biological and psychological reality. We will examine the biophysical mechanisms behind the "Power Struggle," reframe "Childhood Wounds" as "**Incomplete Emotional Episodes**" trapped by physiological reflexes, and ultimately, demonstrate how the "**Clarity Break**" process serves as the essential energetic key to unlocking the conscious partnership Hendrix and Hunt envisioned. This is not just a synthesis of ideas; it is an evolutionary re-imagining of love as a function of universal physics.

Part I: The Physics of Attraction and the Imago

The Unconscious Agenda: Seeking the Frequency of Home

Harville Hendrix's central thesis in *Getting the Love You Want* is the concept of the "**Imago**." He argues that our choice of a partner is not a random act of romance but a specific, unconscious compulsion. We are looking for a surrogate parent. Specifically, we seek a person who combines the positive and negative traits of our early caregivers, with a distinct emphasis on the negative. This counter-intuitive drive exists because the unconscious mind, trapped in an eternal "now," seeks to return to the scene of the original crime—the childhood wounding—to engineer a different outcome. We crave a partner who triggers our specific childhood pain so that we can finally master it and regain our lost wholeness.¹

When viewed through the lens of the Biofield Paradigm, this psychological theory gains a dimension of biophysical reality. The "Imago" is not just a mental composite picture stored in neural networks; it is a complex frequency signature imprinted in the biofield.

As detailed in "The Architecture of Reality" ⁴, the human biofield is the organizing, informational field of life. It contains the blueprint of our physical form and the history

of our emotional experiences. When a child experiences unmet needs or trauma, these are not just filed away as memories. According to physicist and researcher Richard Pavek in his seminal work "The Nuts & Bolts of Emotion" ⁴, these events create "**Incomplete Emotional Episodes**" (IEEs). An emotion has a natural gestalt, a life cycle of rise and fall. If that cycle is interrupted—by fear, punishment, or overwhelming intensity—the emotional energy does not dissipate. It becomes trapped in the very cells of our body via a pre-cognitive process termed the "**Auto-Contractile Pain Reflex**" (ACPR) fully discussed within this document.

Therefore, with a body and vibrational field populated with multiple family-related Incomplete Emotional Episodes (IEEs) from childhood, the Imago match is a phenomenon of **resonance**. We are not just looking for someone who acts like our parents; we are scanning the environment for a biofield that vibrates at a frequency compatible with our own trapped, dissonant, incomplete patterns. The "**Old Brain**," which Hendrix identifies as the primitive, safety-seeking part of our anatomy ⁵, acts as a transponder built to detect and interpret incoming threats ⁴. Indeed, research and decades of clinical practice reveal that this "Old Brain" and other 'deep brain' structures are exquisitely sensitive to frequency-based field fluctuations, both in ourselves and emanating from others. When we meet a potential partner who carries the requisite vibrational "negative traits," our Old Brain does not register a 'red flag' in the way our rational mind might otherwise hope. Instead, it registers a "lock-on." It perceives a familiar frequency.

This resonance explains the bewildering intensity of romantic attraction. The "spark" we feel is the vibrational excitation of our embodied dormant IEEs. The partner's field stimulates our trapped emotional frequencies, promising a chance to finally complete the cycle. We feel "alive" because our suppressed history is being vibrated into motion. In the biofield paradigm, the adage "opposites attract" is refined: **Complementary wounds resonate**. We seek the biofield that fits our distortion like a key in a lock, not to suffer, but because the physics of the field seeks coherence and completion.

The Illusion of Romantic Love: A Temporary Field Entrainment

Hendrix describes the first stage of relationship, Romantic Love, as "nature's anesthesia." It is a euphoric state where differences are blurred, and partners feel a profound sense of oneness and completion. Neurobiologically, this is flooded with

phenylethylamine (PEA) and oxytocin.⁶ Psychologically, it is the illusion that the partner will unilaterally heal our wounds without us having to change.

From a field physics perspective, Romantic Love can be understood as a state of **field entrainment**. Two biofields, driven by the intense energy of attraction, temporarily synchronize. The dissonance of the individual IEEs is drowned out by the high-amplitude carrier wave of the connection. Responding to these field fluctuations, the brain instantly rationalizes, justifies, and provides copious reasons why this is a 'match made in Heaven. This mirrors the concept of "Shmaya" (Aramaic for 'heaven' discussed in the analysis of the Aramaic Jesus⁴) – a state of 'cosmic vibrational radiance' and connection. During the romantic phase, the couple creates a shared, high-frequency field that mimics the "Kingdom of Heaven" or the "Unified Field." They experience a "fractal" moment of the ultimate unity described in Taoist and Sufi traditions.⁴

However, this entrainment is chemically and energetically expensive to maintain. It is an "excited state" in physics terms, and systems naturally seek a return to their ground state. As the initial frequency influx wanes, the individual field distortions – those embodied Incomplete Emotional Episodes – re-emerge. The noise returns to the signal. This transition marks the descent from the "Honeymoon" into the "Power Struggle."

The Old Brain and the Biofield Transponder

Hendrix dedicates significant attention to the "Old Brain" (the brainstem and limbic system), noting that it is concerned only with survival and has no sense of linear time.⁵ It scans for safety and danger, often mistaking the frequency signatures of present-day partners for past caregivers.

The Biofield Paradigm reframes the Old Brain's function. As Russell Fox argues in "The Architecture of Reality," the brain is not a generator of consciousness but a **transponder**.⁴ It receives and responds to signals from the biofield. When the biofield contains a "static" signal – an Incomplete Emotional Episode (IEE) rooted in childhood fear or shame – the Old Brain receives this as a constant, low-level alarm.

This explains why the "Imago Match" is so compelling. The partner's specific behavioral frequencies (e.g., emotional unavailability, criticism) externally validate the internal "static" the Old Brain has been monitoring for decades. It is a moment of

recognition. The brain says, "I know this signal. This is 'Home'." Unfortunately, 'Home' was a place of wounding, and thus the partner becomes the perfect candidate to re-enact the trauma.

Part II: The Power Struggle as Biophysical Dissonance

The Mechanism of Reactivity: The ACPR Activation

The 'Power Struggle' is the inevitable second stage of relationships described by Hendrix. Here, the veil lifts. The traits that once seemed charming (e.g., "he's so quiet and steady") become sources of infuriating pain ("he's distant and emotionally unavailable"). Hendrix explains that this occurs because the partner is failing to meet the unconscious need to heal the childhood wound. The Old Brain panics, perceiving this not just as a disappointment, but as a threat to survival.⁷

The Biofield Paradigm provides the precise physiological mechanism for this "survival" reaction, which is often metaphorically described in psychology but literally experienced in the body. Pavek identifies this mechanism as the **Auto-Contractile Pain Reflex (ACPR)**.⁴

When the partner triggers an old wound—say, by arriving late or offering a criticism—they are broadcasting a frequency that resonates with the corresponding IEE trapped in the subject's biofield. This resonance reactivates the original emotional pain (fear, shame, grief). Crucially, *the body's soft tissues cannot distinguish between the pain of a physical injury and the pain of a high-intensity emotional spike*. The body reacts to the emotional "hit" exactly as it would to a physical blow: it splints. Muscles contract, fascia tightens, and because the diaphragm is a soft tissue structure, breathing restricts.

This is the biophysical reality of the Power Struggle. It is not just an argument; it is a

field collision resulting in physical contraction.

Imago Concept	Biofield Correlate	Physical Mechanism
Old Limbic Brain Reactivity	Field Transponder Alarm	The brain receives the "danger" signal from the disrupted biofields, both their own, and their partner's.
Childhood Wound	Incomplete Emotional Episode (IEE)	A trapped frequency wave of unresolved grief, fear, or shame.
Defense Mechanism	Auto-Contractile Pain Reflex (ACPR)	Involuntary splinting of muscles/fascia around the IEE.
Power Struggle	Field Dissonance/Collision	Two biofields broadcasting incoherent IEE signals that trigger mutual ACPR.

The Dance of the Maximizer and Minimizer

Hendrix categorizes defensive behaviors into two primary styles: the **Maximizer** (who explodes, pursues, and vocalizes) and the **Minimizer** (who retreats, withdraws, and goes silent).⁹ He affirms that these are adaptations to specific childhood parenting styles.

From a 'field physics' perspective, these are distinct strategies of **energy management**.

1. The Minimizer (The Turtle):

- **Imago View:** Withdraws to feel safe, fearing engulfment.

- **Biofield View:** This is a **contractive defense**. One's initial reaction to an incoming incoherent frequency is—by its very nature—contractive to defend from any further pain or threat of damage. Because the partner's incoming disruptive emotional frequency has also triggered and reignited painful IEEs already trapped within the recipient, their ACPR clamps down heavily around the lower belly (the 'Kath' or 'Hara' center), the solar plexus, and/or the heart to physically block the intake of the partner's chaotic "noisy" frequency. This ACPR manifests as silence, shallow breathing, and "shutting down."

2. The Maximizer (The Hailstorm):

- **Imago View:** Pursues to feel safe, fearing abandonment.
- **Biofield View:** This is an **expansive, chaotic defense**. When their own embodied emotional contractions become intolerable, the Maximizer attempts to forcibly push back out with an even stronger disruptive frequency we all recognize as 'anger' and/or 'aggression.' By doing so, this pained individual projects their field outward in high-amplitude, jagged waveforms in an attempt to dominate, to control, and to counteract the inner contractions they find so painful. In the relationship, the Maximizer is attempting to penetrate the partner's density (the Turtle's shell) to force a connection or a response, comfortable or not. This "noise" creates a turbulent field environment, which ironically forces the Minimizer to *contract even harder* to survive the onslaught.

The tragedy of the Power Struggle is the feedback loop. The IEE (incomplete emotion) triggers the ACPR (contraction). The contraction traps the IEE, preventing it from discharging. The trapped IEE, as well as the increased spasmodic ACPR, continue to signal "pain & threat" to the brain. As the ultimate 'security guard,' the brain—our exquisitely sensitive 'transponder'—reads this sustained pain signal as a current, life-threatening emergency, triggering fight-or-flight hormones.⁴ The couple is locked in a biological stalemate, ruled by "Field Precedence." Since field disturbances always precede and dictate the psychological narrative, once again the camouflaged unfinished past is demanding attention in the present. They think they are fighting about the dishes, but they are actually fighting the agony of their own painful, contractive incomplete emotional frequencies and the resulting rigidified tissues.

The Space Between: Quantum Reality of Relationship

One of Hendrix's most esoteric yet vital concepts is "The Space Between." He asserts that the relationship does not exist inside the individuals, but in the space *between* them. He describes this space as a "cosmic energy field" that connects the couple.¹¹ If this space is polluted with negativity, connection is impossible.

Modern physics validates this poetic intuition. As outlined in "The Architecture of Reality"⁴, quantum field theory reveals that space is not empty; it is alive with innumerable fields. "The Space Between" is a shared localized portion of the grand 'Universal Field' which links us all. When Hendrix mandates "Zero Negativity" to clear this space, he is prescribing a protocol for establishing **field coherence**.

Negativity—criticism, blame, shame—introduces incoherent waveforms into the shared field. These dissonant frequencies disrupt the ability of the partners' biofields to resonate in sync, or "phase-lock" in a healthy way. Just as radio static prevents a clear broadcast, negativity in the Space Between physically prevents the transmission of empathy and love. Safety, then, is not just a feeling; it is a **physics state**. It is the absence of incoherent, high-amplitude frequency spikes (negativity) in the local field, allowing the nervous system to down-regulate from sympathetic arousal (fight/flight) to ventral vagal social engagement.¹³

Part III: The Clarity Break – A Tool for Biofield Regulation

In *Getting the Love You Want*, the path out of the Power Struggle requires "becoming conscious." This means overriding the Old Brain's knee-jerk reactivity. However, overriding a survival instinct driven by the ACPR is incredibly difficult using willpower alone. This is where the "**Clarity Break**," as presented in the "Self Love & Self Realization" talk⁴, becomes a critical bridge technology.

The Clarity Break is a practical intervention designed to interrupt the *ACPR-IEE Loop* described by Pavek. It serves as the physiological prerequisite for the psychological work Hendrix prescribes. It is the practical application of "Field Precedence": by

altering the biological response, we alter the field reception, and thus the psychological reality.

The Process and Rationale

The Clarity Break consists of three steps, each addressing a layer of the biofield-body-mind system:

1. Focused Breathing (Calm, Deep, Wide):

- **Rationale:** When the ACPR triggers, the diaphragm contracts, and breathing becomes shallow. This signals "danger" to the brain. Pavek notes that emotions like fear and anger are felt in specific regions (Solar Plexus).⁴
- **Parallels:** This aligns with Hendrix's goal of quieting the Old Brain. However, where Hendrix uses cognitive "time-outs," the Clarity Break uses *biological overrides*. By forcing the breath to be "Deep" (belly expansion) and "Wide" (ribcage expansion), we manually reverse the physical contraction of the ACPR. This sends a "safety" signal up the vagus nerve, permitting the Old Brain to stand down. It physically creates space in the torso where the emotional contraction is trying to take hold.

2. Somatic Awareness (Feeling the Raw Sensation):

- **Rationale:** Pavek notes that we react to our *anticipations* and *stories* about pain, rather than the pain itself.⁴ The brain wraps the IEE in a narrative ("He doesn't care about me").
- **Parallels:** Hendrix teaches couples to distinguish between reality and their projection. Somatic Awareness strips away the projection entirely. By asking, "Where in my body am I feeling this?" the individual shifts focus from the partner (the trigger) to the internal energy knot (the IEE). This prevents the "Transference" of rage onto the partner⁴ and keeps the focus on the internal wound, which is the true source of the distress. It transforms the experience from "You are hurting me" to "I am experiencing a contraction in my chest."

3. Cultivating Presence (Anchoring in the Now):

- **Rationale:** The IEE is a fragment of the past living in the present. It has no sense of time. To the Old Brain, the childhood abandonment is happening *now*.
- **Parallels:** This supports the Imago goal of differentiation—realizing that "my partner is not my parent." By anchoring in the sensory present (feet on the floor, sounds in the room), the individual creates a "field boundary." They dis-identify from the trapped emotion ("I am the sky, not the storm"), creating the necessary distance to respond rather than react.

Direct Parallel: The Clarity Break is the "solo" version of the safety creation Hendrix advocates. Before a partner can engage in a "Safe Conversation," they must first establish safety within their own biofield. The Clarity Break releases the immediate grip of the ACPR, lowering the amplitude of the distress signal so that the "New Brain" (the prefrontal cortex) can come online and engage in the Imago Dialogue.

Part IV: The Imago Dialogue through the Lens of Field Physics

The centerpiece of Hendrix's therapy is the **Imago Dialogue**: a structured exchange involving Mirroring, Validating, and Empathizing.¹⁵ While this is framed as a communication tool, the Biofield Paradigm reveals it to be a sophisticated method for **field regulation and entrainment**. It is a technology for managing the "Space Between."

1. Mirroring: Field Calibration and Signal Locking

In Mirroring, one partner (the Receiver) repeats the other's words (the Sender) exactly. Hendrix argues this ensures accuracy and objectivity. From a biofield perspective, Mirroring acts as a **frequency calibration**.

When the Receiver repeats the Sender's words without distortion, they are essentially tuning their biofield to match the broadcast frequency of the partner. It stops the Receiver from broadcasting their own "noise" (defensiveness, interpretation, counter-arguments). This creates a "**Field Lock**," a stable channel of transmission where the signal-to-noise ratio is optimized. In physics terms, this minimizes "impedance" in the communication channel. The Sender feels "heard" because the signal they sent was returned without distortion, confirming a clean energetic link.

2. Validation: Dismantling the Defense (ACPR)

Validation ("You make sense to me because...") tells the Sender that their reality is legitimate. In the Power Struggle, the partners' realities are in collision, causing field turbulence and mutual invalidation.

Validation signals that the Receiver is not a threat to the Sender's existence or sanity. This reduces the "danger" signal being picked up by the Sender's Old Brain. Biologically, this encourages the Sender's **ACPR** (splinting reflex) to relax further. The contraction around the IEE loosens because the external threat has been neutralized. The "Turtle" can peek out of the shell; the "Hailstorm" can stop thundering. The biofield expands rather than contracts, creating a state of receptivity.

3. Empathy: Resonance and Discharge

Empathy ("I imagine you might be feeling...") is the step of emotional connection. Hendrix views this as the moment of healing. In the Biofield Paradigm, this is where **field resonance** occurs.

When the Receiver accurately identifies and "feels with" the Sender's emotion, they amplify the frequency of the Sender's trapped IEE via resonance. This might sound counter-productive, but as Pavek notes, trapped emotions need to be "completed" to

be released.⁴ The resonance provided by the partner adds the necessary energy to the trapped IEE to push it over the threshold of the ACPR. The trapped energy is finally allowed to flow, peak, and discharge.

This is why partners often cry or feel a profound physical release of tension during the Empathy phase. It is not just "feeling understood"; it is the literal discharge of a biophysical charge that may have been held since childhood. The partner acts as a "SHEN" practitioner⁴, using their own coherent field to facilitate the release of the other's pain. The "Space Between" becomes a conductive medium for healing.

Part V: Zero Negativity and the Physics of Holiness

Hendrix and Hunt famously advocate for a "Zero Negativity" challenge, asserting that negativity is invisible abuse.¹⁶ They argue that safety is non-negotiable for healing. They even suggest a "calendar" method to track days without negativity, emphasizing that *any* negative comment ruptures the connection.

The Biofield Paradigm elevates this from a behavioral rule to a law of physics. As discussed in "The Unifying Light"⁴, the fundamental nature of the universe (Abwoon/Tao/Al-Haqq) is coherent, creative, and unifying—a frequency we call "Love."

Negativity is, by definition, **entropic**. It is a signal that seeks to separate, fragment, and destroy. When a partner introduces negativity into the "Space Between," they are introducing entropy into a system that requires coherence to function.

- **The Anti-Christ Consciousness:** The white paper on the Aramaic Jesus⁴ defines the "Anti-Christ" not as a demon, but as the "**consciousness of separation**." It is the denial of the interconnected nature of reality. When partners engage in the Power Struggle—blaming, shaming, criticizing—they are enacting

the "Separation" state. They are reinforcing the illusion that they are separate billiard balls crashing into each other, confirming the "mechanistic" worldview we inherited three-hundred years ago, largely from Sir Isaac Newton (1643–1727).

- **The Christic Field:** Conversely, "Christ Consciousness" or "Biofield Consciousness" is the awareness of unity. By practicing Zero Negativity, couples are essentially engaging in **field hygiene**. They are keeping the "Space Between" superconducting, allowing the natural, healing frequencies of the universe (Love/Tao) to flow through their connection without resistance.

Thus, Zero Negativity is not just about being "nice." It is about aligning the relationship with the fundamental operating system of the cosmos. It is creating a localized "Kingdom of Heaven" (malkuta dashmaya)—a "reigning principle of vibrational harmony"—within the marriage. It honors the "Space Between" as "Holy Ground".¹⁷

Part VI: Finishing Childhood – The Restoration of the Fractal

Hendrix states that the unconscious purpose of marriage is to "finish childhood".¹⁸ This means reclaiming the "**Lost Self**" and healing the "Childhood Wounds" so that we can become whole.

In the Tao Science & Field First Paradigm, "finishing childhood" translates to **systemic coherence**.

Reclaiming the Lost Self

Hendrix describes the "Lost Self" as parts of our personality we repressed to survive (e.g., the ability to cry, or to be assertive).¹⁹ In field terms, these are sectors of our biofield that have gone "dark" or static due to chronic ACPR suppression. We effectively "turn off" specific frequencies of our being to avoid the pain associated

with them. The Minimizer turns off their "expressive" frequencies; the Maximizer turns off their "receptive/quiet" frequencies.

When we **use the relationship to heal**—using various Tao Technologies and Clarity Breaks to self-regulate and Imago Dialogue to co-regulate—on a life-affirming, palliative, symptomatic level we systematically relax the ACPRs. As the tension patterns begin to let go of their defensive stance, the "dark" sectors of the biofield begin to light up again. The energy that was used to maintain the defense is now available for life. With the addition of Tao Technologies and biofield-specific SHEN Therapy, the field can regain its full spectrum.

From "Unripe" to "Ripe"

Once again referencing the ancient Aramaic version of Tao Science this process transforms the individual from an "unripe" (*bisha*) state of fragmentation to a "ripe" (*tuve*) state of wholeness.⁴ The partner becomes the catalyst for this ripening. By holding the space (field) for us to experience our forbidden emotions, they allow us to reintegrate our full spectrum of vibrational Soul frequencies.

This leads to the ultimate realization discussed in "The Unifying Light" ⁴: We are **"Fractals of The Tao," "Gods in embryo."** The healing of the relationship is the incubation process. As we clear the static of our *Incomplete Emotional Episodes* and dissolve the armor of our *Auto-Contractile Pain Reflexes*, we stop identifying with the defensive "ego" (the machine) and realize our identity as the "Field" we actually are. The "Conscious Marriage" serves as a vessel for this alchemical transformation, turning the lead of trauma into the gold of presence.

Conclusion: The Sacred Physics of Relationship

The synthesis of Harville Hendrix's Imago Therapy with the Biofield Paradigm offers a comprehensive map of the human heart that satisfies both the mystic and the mechanic. It moves us from a psychology of behavior management to a physics of energy transformation.

- **The "Why":** We are drawn together by the physics of resonance. Our biofields seek out the precise frequency of dissonance (the Imago match) that will vibrate our dormant wounds into awareness.
- **The "What":** The Power Struggle is the physiological clash of defense mechanisms (ACPRs) triggered by this resonance. It is the body's misguided attempt to stay safe by blocking the very healing it seeks.
- **The "How":** Healing requires a shift from unconscious reactivity to conscious field regulation.
 - The **Clarity Break** clears the internal static, releasing the physiological grip of the past on the present.
 - The **Imago Dialogue** creates a coherent field between partners, allowing for the safe discharge of pain and the reintegration of the Lost Self.
 - **Zero Negativity** maintains the sanctity of the "Space Between," aligning the couple with the creative flow of the universe.

Ultimately, this integration reveals that a conscious relationship is more than a social contract or an emotional haven. It is a Soul-oriented spiritual laboratory. By engaging in the rigorous work of clearing our fields and honoring the space between us, we do not just save our marriages, friendships and jobs. We participate in the cosmic mandate of evolution, moving from isolated fragments of pain into a unified, resonant field of Love. We become, in the truest sense, whole.

* * * * *

Works cited

1. Book Summary: "Getting the Love You Want: A Guide for Couples, Revised Edition" by Harville Hendrix, Ph.D. - Core Psychology, <https://www.corepsychology.com/book-club/2024/4/13/book-summary-getting-the-love-you-want-a-guide-for-couples-revised-edition-by-harville-hendrix-phd-l6yxp>
2. Getting the Love You Want | Summary, Quotes, FAQ, Audio - SoBrief, <https://sobrief.com/books/getting-the-love-you-want>
3. What is Imago? - Harville and Helen, <https://harvilleandhelen.com/initiatives/what-is-imago/>
4. The Architecture of Reality_ A Primer on Field Physics and the Emerging Biofield Paradigm, <https://shentherapynow.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/The-Architecture-of-Reality-A-Primer-on-Field-Physics-and-the-Emerging-Biofield-Paradigm.pdf>
5. Fascinating Ways In Which The Conscious and Unconscious Mind Affect Your Love Life, <https://loveandtreasure.com/fascinating-ways-in-which-the-conscious-and-unconscious-mind-affect-your-love-life/>
6. Imago Therapy: 14 Relationship Exercises & Worksheets - Positive Psychology, <https://positivepsychology.com/imago-therapy/>
7. Self-Sabotage of Relationships - Awareness and Understanding - Thrive for the People, <https://www.thriveforthepeople.com/blog/self-sabotage-of-relationships-awareness-and-understanding>
8. Know When To Hold 'Em: When Falling Out of Love Can be Good for Your Relationship – Part 2 of 2 - Ridgeview Institute, <https://www.ridgeview Smyrna.com/news/know-when-to-hold-em-when-falling-out-of-love-can-be-good-for-your-relationship-part-2-of-2/>
9. Are you a Turtle or a Hailstorm? Finding out CAN save your marriage! - Harville and Helen, <https://harvilleandhelen.com/relationships/are-you-a-turtle-or-a-hailstorm-finding-out-can-save-your-marriage/>
10. The Minimizer/Maximizer Dance - Imago Center DC, <https://imago-centerdc.com/the-minimizer-maximizer-dance>
11. Creating Safe Space to Heal Relationships | Omega Institute, <https://www.omega.org/article/creating-safe-space-to-heal-relationships>

12. The Space Between | No Cigarettes, No Bologna,
<https://nocigarettesnobologna.com/2014/10/16/the-space-between/>
13. ERP 303: How To Create Safe Conversations — An Interview With Drs. Harville Hendrix & Helen LaKelly Hunt - Dr. Jessica Higgins,
<https://drjessicahiggins.com/erp-303-how-to-create-safe-conversations-an-interview-with-drs-harville-hendrix-and-helen-lakelly-hunt/>
14. The Polyvagal Certificate Course: Safety, Connection, and the Human Experience 11 (January 2026),
<https://learning.polyvagal.org/courses/the-polyvagal-certificate-course-safety-connection-and-the-human-experience-11-jan-2026>
15. Imago Dialogue: The Basic Steps - Houston Marriage Counselor,
<https://imagoworks.com/the-imago-dialogue/steps/>
16. HARVILLE HENDRIX, PH.D. AND HELEN LAKELLY HUNT, PH.D.,
<https://harvilleandhelen.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Zero-Negativity-Guide-for-Couples.pdf>
17. Back to the Basics - John Sullivan Counselling,
<https://johnsullivancounselling.com/the-basics/>
18. (PDF) Imago Relationship Therapy - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273754792_Imago_Relationship_Therapy
19. Getting the Love You Want - by Harville Hendrix - Derek Sivers,
<https://sive.rs/book/GettingLoveYouWant>
20. Dr Harville Hendrix - Imago Sydney Relationship Therapy,
<https://imagosydney.com.au/Harville%20Hendrix.pdf>